UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Jeffrey Zuchowski, and Jennifer Zuchowski,

Civ. No. 17-5279 (MJD/BRT)

Plaintiffs,

v.

First Premier Bank and 11th Hour Recovery, Inc.,

NOTICE OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Defendants.

Pursuant to Rule 16(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a pretrial conference will be held on **January 10, 2018, at 2:00 pm**, in Courtroom 6A, Warren E. Burger Federal Building, 316 North Robert Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101, before United States Magistrate Judge Becky R. Thorson. Prior to the conference, counsel must comply with the following:

- 1. Rule 26(f) Meeting and Report. Meet before the scheduled pretrial conference pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1. No later than three business days prior to the pretrial conference, the parties must prepare and file a joint Rule 26(f) Report in compliance with the rules. The parties' Rule 26(f) Report must include a proposed discovery plan as required by Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1. The parties should prepare their proposed plan using the attached Rule 26(f) form. To the extent the parties cannot reach agreement on any particular item about scheduling or discovery, they should set forth their separate positions in their Rule 26(f) Report for discussion at the pretrial conference. A copy of the 26(f) Report should be e-mailed to Magistrate Judge Thorson at thorson_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov at the time of the joint filing.
- 2. **Confidential Settlement Letter.** In addition to the Rule 26(f) Report, each party must email to Magistrate Judge Thorson's chambers a 1-2 page

confidential letter setting forth what settlement discussions have taken place and whether the party believes an early settlement conference would be productive.

3. **Rule 7.1 Disclosure, If Applicable.** Any party that is required to file a disclosure statement under Rule 7.1, must ensure that it properly filed. As Rule 7.1 provides, a nongovernmental corporate party must file a disclosure statement that (1) identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock or (2) states that there is no such corporation. This disclosure statement must be filed with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the Court and promptly file a supplemental statement if any required information changes.

Counsel who will be trying the case should be present for the conference and have authority to discuss all aspects of the 26(f) Report and scheduling conference topics. If this is not possible, substitute counsel with knowledge about the case and 26(f) Report should be arranged.

Rule 16 conferences by teleconference are disfavored. Any request to participate by telephone must be made by contacting the Courtroom Deputy/Judicial Assistant, Melissa Kruger, at 651-848-1210, no later than three business days prior to the pretrial conference.

If a party does not have counsel of record listed in this case, it is the responsibility of counsel for Plaintiff to (1) immediately notify those parties and counsel of this conference, and (2) inform those parties and counsel of the requirements set forth in this notice.

Failure of any party or counsel to comply with any part of this notice, including submission of the Rule 26(f) Report and settlement letter to Magistrate Judge Thorson by the time specified in this notice may result in the postponement of the pretrial conference,

CASE 0:17-cv-05279-MJD-BRT Document 3 Filed 11/30/17 Page 3 of 12

an imposition of an appropriate sanction on the party, company or attorney who failed to

comply, or both.

Counsel should contact Magistrate Judge Thorson's Courtroom Deputy/Judicial

Assistant, Melissa Kruger, at 651-848-1210, with respect to any matters concerning the

pretrial conference.

Date: November 30, 2017

s/Melissa S. Kruger

Melissa S. Kruger

Courtroom Deputy/Judicial Assistant

3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Jeffrey Zuchowski, and Jennifer Zuchowski,	Civ. No. 17-5279 (MJD/BRT)				
Plaintiffs,					
v.	RULE 26(f) REPORT ¹				
First Premier Bank, and 11th Hour Recovery,	TEMPLATE				
Defendants.					
The parties/counsel identified below conf and the Local Rules, on, a	*				
scheduled for, 20, before	The initial pretrial conference required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and LR 16.2 is scheduled for, 20, before the United States Magistrate Judge Becky R. Thorson in Courtroom 6A of the U.S. Courthouse in, St. Paul, Minnesota.				
(a) Rule 7.1 Disclosures.					
The parties must comply with Rule 7.1, if	applicable:				
(1) For plaintiff: Rule 7.1 does/does	not apply.				
(2) For defendantRule 7.1 does/doe	es not apply.				
(b) Description of the Case.					
(1) Concise factual summary of plaintiff's	s claims:				
(2) Concise factual summary of defendant	t's claims/defenses:				
(3) Statement of jurisdiction (including sta	atutory citations):				
This form is based on the forms found Forms tab, in the "Pretrial, Discovery and Triby Magistrate Judge Thorson. http://www.mrreport-non_patent.pdf					

(4) Summary	of	factual	stipulat	tions	or	agreements:
----	-----------	----	---------	----------	-------	----	-------------

- (5) Statement of whether a jury trial has been timely demanded by any party:
- (6) Statement as to whether the parties agree to resolve the matter under the Rules of Procedure for Expedited Trials of the United States District Court, District of Minnesota, if applicable:
- (c) Pleadings.

Statement as to whether all process has been served, all pleadings filed, and any plan for any party to amend pleadings or add additional parties to the action:

(d) Discovery of Electronically Stored Information.

The parties have discussed the scope of electronic discovery, including relevance and proportionality, and any issues about preserving electronic discovery. The parties have also discussed the form or forms in which electronic discovery should be produced. They inform the Court of the following agreements or issues:

The Court refers counsel to "Discussion of Electronic Discovery at Rule 26(f) Conferences: A Guide for Practitioners," developed by the Federal Practice Committee, to help attorneys and parties prepare for a meaningful discussion of electronic discovery issues early in the litigation. The e-Discovery Guide is available on the Court's website http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov.

The parties will further meet and confer by _	to discuss their plan or
formal protocol for electronic discovery. The	y agree to present any disputes regarding
an electronic discovery plan and protocol to t	the Court by

(e) Fact Discovery.

The parties should agree on their proposed plan using this form. To the extent that the parties cannot reach agreement on any particular item about scheduling or discovery, they should set forth their separate positions in their Rule 26(f) Report for discussion at the pretrial conference.

The parties recommend that the Court establish the following fact discovery deadlines and limitations:

(1) The parties m	oust make their initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or	r
before	If the parties plan to disclose documents by a description by	
category and	location of documents, they will exchange copies of initial disclosure	e
documents or	or before	

(2)	The partie	s must commence fact discovery procedures in time to be completed by The parties will discuss whether a date for the substantial
	_	of documents should be set within the fact discovery period, to
(2)		he taking of depositions.
(3)	_	s have discussed the scope of discovery including relevance and ality and propose that the Court limit the use and numbers of discovery
		s as follows:
	(A)	interrogatories;
	(B)	document requests. The parties understand that objections to
		ent requests must meet the requirements of amended Rule 34(b)(2)(B). parties' convenience, the Rule is set forth below:
	Rul	e 34
	(b)	Procedure.
	(2	2) Responses and Objections.
		(B) Responding to Each Item. For each item or category, the response must either state that inspection and related activities will be permitted as requested or state with specificity the grounds for objecting to the request, including the reasons. The responding party may state that it will produce copies of documents or of electronically stored information instead of permitting inspection. The production must then be completed no later than the time for inspection specified in the request or another reasonable time specified in the response.
	stored inforeasonable	onding party producing copies of documents or copies of electronically ormation and the copies are not produced with the responses, another time must be specified in the response. If the requesting party disagrees reasonable, the parties must meet and confer to agree on the timetable tion.
		requests for admission. The parties have discussed a protocol authentication of documents and agree on the following:
		factual depositions. The parties have discussed the phical location for the depositions and agree on the following:
	_	extries have discussed the taking of depositions pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) exent the following agreement:
		n agreement is not included, the parties should consider whether they uld agree on a deadline for serving 30(b)(6) notices of deposition.

(F) T	he parties have discussed Rule 35 medical examinations and agree to The parties must complete any physical or mental
ez	xaminations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 35 by
(G)_	other.
(f) Expert D	Discovery.
(1) The pof tria	parties anticipate that they [will/will not] require expert witnesses at the time al.
(A) T	The plaintiff anticipates calling (number) experts in the fields of:
(B)T	he defendant anticipates calling (number) experts in the fields of:
	The parties must meet and confer to confirm the fields (not necessarily the lentity of the expert witnesses) on or before
_	parties propose that the Court establish the following plan for expert overy:
tailor	parties must discuss the particular expert needs in the case and then carefully their recommended plan for disclosure of experts, service of reports and g of depositions. Three options are provided below:
<u>OPTION</u>	<u>N A</u> :
(1)	Initial experts.
	(i) The identity of any expert who may testify at trial regarding issues on which the party has the burden of persuasion must be disclosed on or before
	(ii) The initial expert written report completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(2)	Rebuttal experts.
	(i) The identity of any experts who may testify in rebuttal to any initial expert must be disclosed on or before
	(ii) Any rebuttal expert's written report completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before

(3)	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by The parties must meet and confer to coordinate expert depositions. This conference should immediately follow the disclosure of the experts so that all expert depositions can be coordinated and completed on time. The parties must inform their experts about the deadlines for expert disclosures and depositions in this Scheduling Order.
(4)	Each side may call up to experts. Each side may take 1 deposition per expert.
<u>OPTI</u>	<u>ON B</u> :
(1)	The parties must identify any expert they may use at trial to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703, or 705 (initial expert) on or before
(2)	The initial expert's written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(3)	The identity of any experts who may testify in rebuttal to any initial expert must be disclosed on or before
(4)	Any rebuttal expert written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(5)	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by The parties must meet and confer to coordinate expert depositions. This conference should immediately follow the disclosure of the experts so that all expert depositions can be coordinated and completed on time. The parties must inform their experts about the deadlines for expert disclosures and depositions in this Scheduling Order.
(6)	Each side may call up to experts. Each side may take 1 deposition per expert.
<u>OPTI</u>	ON C:
(1)	Plaintiff will identify any expert who may testify at trial on behalf of Plaintiff and the initial expert written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
(2)	The identity and any expert written rebuttal reports by Defendants to Plaintiff's expert(s) must be served on or before

	(3)	The identity of any experts (non-rebuttal) who may testify on behalf of Defendants and any expert written report(s) completed in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (a)(2)(B) must be served on or before
	(4)	The identity and any expert written rebuttal reports by Plaintiff to Defendants' (non-rebuttal) expert(s) must be served on or before
	(5)	All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by The parties must meet and confer to coordinate expert depositions. This conference should immediately follow the disclosure of the experts so that all expert depositions can be coordinated and completed on time. The parties must inform their experts about the deadlines for expert disclosures and depositions in this Scheduling Order.
	(6)	Each side may call up to experts. Each side may take 1 deposition per expert.
(g) Pr	oposed	Motion Schedule.
Th	e partie	es propose the following deadlines for filing motions:
(1)	Motio	ns seeking to join other parties must be filed and served by
(2)	Motio	ns seeking to amend the pleadings must be filed and served by
(3)		Y IF APPLICABLE] Motions seeking an amendment of the pleadings to unitive damages must be filed and served by
(4)	Non-d	ispositive motions.
	<u>OPTIO</u>	<u>N A:</u>
	parties	er non-dispositive motions must be filed and served by The must meet and confer to resolve fact discovery disputes when they arise, inresolved, bring discovery disputes promptly to the Court's attention.
	<u>OPTIO</u>	<u>N B:</u>
	(1)	All non-dispositive motions relating to fact discovery must be filed and served by

(2)	All other non-dispositive motions, including motions relating to expert
	discovery, must be filed and served by

(5) Discovery motions

Before moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request an informal conference with the Court by calling Melissa Kruger, Courtroom Deputy/Judicial Assistant to Magistrate Judge Thorson, at 651-848-1210. The parties will jointly or separately email the Court at least 24 hours prior to the conference to (1) identify the discovery dispute; (2) inform the Court whether the parties agree to IDR to resolve the dispute; and (3) inform the Court of any other information that would be helpful in resolving the dispute in a just, speedy, and inexpensive way.

(6) Informal Dispute Resolution

Prior to scheduling any non-dispositive motion, parties should consider whether the matter can be informally resolved without a formal non-dispositive motion. If an informal dispute resolution process is used, there is no transcript recording of informal proceedings, including telephone conversations. The matter is not briefed and declaration and sworn affidavits are not filed. Therefore, all parties must be in agreement to participate informally before the Court will consider IDR. If there is no agreement to resolve a dispute though IDR, then the dispute must be presented to the Court through formal motion practice.

If the parties agree to pursue the IDR process, the moving party, or the parties jointly, must electronically file a short letter setting forth the issue to be resolved. The Court will review the letter and inform the parties whether IDR will be used. If IDR is used, the parties will be contacted by the Court to schedule a telephone conference and allow for position letters to be submitted by each side. If the parties wish to proceed with IDR in a manner other than that outlined above, the short letter must include a specific proposal for the Court to consider.

(7)	Dispositive	Motions.
-----	-------------	----------

All dispositive motions must be filed and served by ______.

(h) Protective Order

The parties have discussed whether they believe that a protective order is necessary to govern discovery and jointly submit a [proposed] protective order/report identifying areas of disagreement. The parties are encouraged, though not required, to use the form available on the Court's website under the Court Forms tab, in the "Pretrial,"

Discovery and Trial Forms" section. http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/local_rules/forms/Stipulation-for-Protective-Order-Form.pdf.

(i) Claims of Privilege or Protection.

The parties have discussed issues regarding the protection of information by a privilege or the work-product doctrine, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(D), including whether the parties agree to a procedure to assert these claims after production or have any other agreements under Fed. R. Evidence 502:

- (1) Request the Court to include the following agreement in the scheduling order; or
- (2) Will include their agreement in their proposed Protective Order.
- (j) Trial-Ready Date.
 - (1) The parties agree that the case will be ready for trial on or after ______
 - (2) The anticipated length of the [select one bench or jury] trial is _____ days.
- (k) Insurance Carriers/Indemnitors.

List all insurance carriers/indemnitors, including limits of coverage of each defendant or statement that the defendant is self-insured.

- (1) Settlement.
 - (1) Counsel must meet before the scheduled pretrial conference pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 26.1 to discuss settlement. No later than three business days prior to the pretrial conference, the parties must prepare and file a joint Rule 26(f) Report in compliance with the rules. Please email a copy to Magistrate Judge Thorson at thorson_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov. In addition, the parties must send Magistrate Judge Thorson a confidential letter setting forth what settlement discussions have taken place, whether the party believes and early settlement conference would be productive and when it should occur, and what discovery, if any, the party believes would be necessary to conduct before such a conference. The Court will discuss this topic with the parties at the pretrial conference and will set a date for an early settlement conference or for a status conference to determine when the case will be ready for a productive settlement conference.
 - (2) The parties propose that a settlement conference be scheduled to take place before

- (3) The parties have discussed whether other alternative dispute resolution will be helpful to the resolution of this case and recommend the following:
- (m) Trial by Magistrate Judge.

The parties **[have/have not]** agreed to consent to jurisdiction by the Magistrate Judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). (If the parties agree to consent, file the consent with the Rule 26(f) Report.)

DATE:		
	Plaintiff's Counsel	
	License #	
	Address	
	Phone #	
DATE:		
	Defendant's Counsel	
	License #	
	Address	
	Phone #	